United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/542,044	07/13/2005	Andrew David Morley	06275-460US1 100928-1P US	8677
26164 7590 06/08/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER	
			LAMBKIN, DEBORAH C	
MINNEAPOL	15, MIN 33440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/542,044	MORLEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deborah C. Lambkin	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
, 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ma</u>	arch 2007.	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-10,13-15 and 18</u> is/are pending in th	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are withdrawn fro	m consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-15 and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		DEROPARE. DAMBKIN PRIMARY EXAMINER				
!						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group 1, example 1, in the reply filed on 3/08/07 is acknowledged.

Claims 4 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to non-elected subject matter.

The remaining claims were examined soley to the extent in which they read on the elected subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 13-15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for possibly one disease, does not reasonably provide enablement for all and any disease by the alleged mechanism or any inflammatory disease. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The state of the art of conventional drug therapy is the treatment of one disease with one drug. Applicant's claims to one kind of drug for treating a myriad of diseases dictates undue experimentation. Furthermore, one does not know the metes and

bounds of the claimed invention because the instant specification does not list all the diseases covered by said mechanism, hence undue experimentation. Moreover, applicant's in-vitro testing is insufficient to support all and any inflammatory disease or all and any disease that may fall under said mechanism. One can also argue that any disease essentially involves some inflammation, if this is the case, so then is applicant alleging that the instant compound or drug is useful for treating any and all disease? Consequently, if this is the case, then one can argue the viable utility of the instant compound or drug. The instant showing of enablement is not commensurate in scope to the claims.

It is recommended that applicant limit the method claims to one use that is enabled by the instant specification.

Claim Objections

Claim s 1-3, 5-10, 13-15 and 18 are objected to for containing non-elected subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah C. Lambkin whose telephone number is 571-272-0698. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9.00-5.30.

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joesph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

